

Barriers to Productivity – An Overview

Key Points

- Productivity remains a significant industry challenge.
- Barriers to productivity from an executive and management perspective are provided. For example, weak safety culture and inadequate stakeholder management can be a huge disruptors.
- Identified barriers are not exhaustive and should be complemented by field-level perspectives.

Introduction

This Executive Insight provides a view on some of the barriers to productivity that exist, especially in large complex projects. More granular, field-level viewpoints, on productivity are equally important, but are not covered here. The listing that follows is most certainly not complete, but reflects the author's experiences.

Barriers to Productivity

The construction industry has suffered from a productivity gap for decades. Many of the newer construction delivery systems, such as lean construction, seek to address this productivity gap. Despite successes, broad industry scale improvements are lacking. Failure to achieve planned productivity levels on a sustained basis is one of the challenges many large complex projects face and potential challenges to productivity must be addressed earlier in the project life cycle.

Some barriers to productivity include:

- Expanding "soft" project requirements such as environmental, social, and subcontracting policies.
- Inadequate valuing of time:
 - o Decision making has no sense of urgency.
 - o Responsibility and accountability are "diffused."
- Serial specialization acts as a barrier to systemic innovation—projects split into smaller pieces or phases for control actually limit opportunities for broader innovation.
 - \circ No competition of supply chains (as contrasted with other manufacturing industries).
 - \circ No ability for multiple industry players to change in unison.
- Complexity leads to new risks:
 - \circ White space compounded by serial specialization.
 - \circ Black swans nest and breed in white spaces and in complexity
 - Coupled constraints

- Challenges the perceptions on scaling factors—does a bigger project become more expensive than two smaller ones when complexity increases above a threshold level?
- Disaggregation of toolmaking from tool users limits ability to optimize project level productivity.
- Owner and owner's project requirements drive engineering solutions, not construction solutions.
 O An expanded basis of design is required.
 - $\ensuremath{\circ}$ Constructability addresses issues too late and only at the margins.
 - \circ Quality of design (completeness) requires improvement.
- Means and methods capture and sharing is weak and does not compensate for assembling a "company" to do a project, only to take it apart at the end of the project.
- Manufacturing methods (such as what fabrication may afford) are too narrowly applied.
 Lack of adequate component and tool standardizations.
- Supply chain practices reflect dated thinking and methods.
 - o Deficient source material or manufacturing fabrication quality
 - \circ Lack of materials at the times required
 - \circ Inadequate tracking and storage of materials at site impacts efficient retrieval.
- Strengthening and reinforcing construction supervision skills, practices, tools, and technology recognize the half-life of information.
- Decision-making frameworks do not adequately value time.
 - $\,\circ\,$ Impacted by inadequate alignment at all project levels.
 - \circ Inadequate leadership skills and training
- Inadequate stakeholder engagement results in project disruption.
- Routine disruption or gaps in workflow not adequately anticipated.
 - Contingent activities not planned.
 - Waiting time not productively used—safety or skills training; work area cleanup; tool maintenance or sharpening; inspection of completed works; and workface planning
- Incomplete workface planning.
- Inadequate safety culture.
 - Pre-planning; mindfulness; and team-based communications needed.
- Retrospective vs. prospective metrics:
 - $\ensuremath{\circ}$ Earned value not complemented with earned schedule.
 - Productivity only tracked at project-wide aggregate levels. Often insufficient granular detail is
 obtained from the subcontractors when the selection is made to enable the CM or GC to track
 productivity on a subcontractor-by-subcontractor basis in each phase or area. This requires
 forethought by the procurement manager to demand the requisite information to make
 informed decisions on who is the best subcontractor for a project. The seeds of inadequate
 productivity are sown long before the physical evidence indicates there is a problem.

Summary

Several areas to be addressed to improve construction project productivity have been enumerated. These largely come from an executive perspective and should be complemented with field-level insights and recommendations.

For Further Reading

Executive Insight, Improving Large Project Delivery Executive Insight, White Space Risks Executive Insight, Black Swan Risks Executive Insight, Coupling in Large Complex Projects Executive Insight, Coupling in Large Complex Projects Executive Insight, Business Basis of Design Executive Insight, Constructability Review Before Design Commences Executive Insight, Know What You Are Trying to Accomplish: The Primacy of the Scope Baseline Executive Insight, Design Review Executive Insight, Design Review Executive Insight, Modularization Executive Insight, Nuts and Bolts of Engineering and Construction Executive Insight, Procurement Management in Large Complex Projects Executive Insight, Rethinking the Construction Education System Executive Insight, Importance of Strategic Business Objectives Executive Insight, Stakeholder Management in Large Complex Projects Executive Insight, Contingent Execution

About the Author

Bob Prieto was elected to the National Academy of Construction in 2011. He is a senior executive who is effective in shaping and executing business strategy and a recognized leader within the infrastructure, engineering, and construction industries.

Although the author and NAC have made every effort to ensure accuracy and completeness of the advice or information presented within, NAC and the author assume no responsibility for any errors, inaccuracies, omissions or inconsistencies it may contain, or for any results obtained from the use of this information. The information is provided on an "as is" basis with no guarantees of completeness, accuracy, usefulness or timeliness, and without any warranties of any kind whatsoever, express or implied. Reliance on any information provided by NAC or the author is solely at your own risk.