



NAC Executive Insights

Governing at the Speed of Reality

Key Points

- Large complex projects fail at an alarming rate.
- Classical governance is retrospective. This Member Viewpoint suggests that new governance should be predictive and preventative.
- Large complex projects are dynamic open systems influenced by their setting, filled with uncertainty, and characterized by emergent behaviors and outcomes.

Executive Summary

Large, complex projects (LCPs) are failing at an alarming rate, not because of a lack of skill, but because of a lack of the *right perspective*. Traditional project governance, built on a foundation of Newton's laws—cause and effect, linearity, and isolated components—is structurally incapable of managing the speed and complexity of the modern world. In this landscape, a minor disruption is not linear; it propagates contagiously and non-linearly across hidden webs of domain entanglement, quickly manifesting as catastrophic cost and schedule blowouts.

To succeed, boards must adopt a new governance philosophy that shifts the focus from managing isolated components and historic variances to actively navigating a project as a single, dynamic, and interconnected open system.

The multi-billion-dollar megaprojects defining today's global infrastructure, industrial base, and energy transition are fundamentally different from the projects of the previous century. They are complex open systems, defined not by the neat boxes of a project schedule or organizational chart, but by the volatile flow of data, materials, decisions, and unverified assumptions across their boundaries.

In this environment, information is a currency with a decaying value. The standard corporate reporting cycle, with its multiple layers of administrative friction and reconciliation, introduces a massive 30-day "Time to Truth" latency gap. Boards that govern using this obsolete, static data are making mission-critical decisions based on a forensically reconstructed reality, unaware that the real-time physical status has already drifted into a state of decoherence. To govern at the speed of reality, this latency gap must be closed.

Quantum Project Management is a governance model built on the principles of open-system complexity. It replaces the classical Newtonian view—which assumes that small input changes lead to small, proportional output variances—with a focus on **non-linear dynamics**, **open-system boundaries**, and **entanglement**.

Classical governance is retrospective: it focuses on forensic variance analysis (e.g., SPI, CPI) to reconstruct *why* the green dashboard suddenly turned red. QPM is predictive and preventative: it focuses on monitoring the structural state and sensitivity of the project system, intercepting invisible cracks before they result in physical milestone slip.

The practical application of QPM requires the adoption of five new core mathematical engines and mental models:

1. **Semantic Data Layer Architecture:** To dissolve transactional silos and achieve a zero-second *Time to Truth*, projects must establish a standardized, relationship-aware data ontology.
2. **Quantum Fragility Index (QFI):** Boards must move from managing historical work variances to managing structural sensitivity. The QFI is the board's forward-looking "North Star". By monitoring the QFI executives can transition from reactive troubleshooting to mandated, preventative structural interventions.
3. **Domain Entanglement & Nonlinear Risk Propagation:** The standard, static risk register is a dangerous administrative illusion because it is structurally blind to non-linear couplings. Minor perturbations, like a minor geotechnical variance or supply chain delay, don't dissipate; they gain energy as they cascade non-linearly through hidden dependencies, emerging as a major crisis in an entirely unrelated domain. Boards must manage **Systemic Risk Clusters** rather than isolated events.
4. **Assumptions as Living Objects:** A project baseline decays faster than official plans because of unmonitored **Assumption Drift**—the decay of tacit mental model premises as dynamic field conditions change. A framework is required to treat unverified assumptions as active, trackable "living objects" with defined lifespans and automated verification gates, halting their contagious propagation across disciplines.
5. **Human Capital & The Fatigue Risk Index (FRI):** Organizational fatigue is the absolute earliest leading indicator of widespread project failure. We must model human performance decay along non-linear stress curves, positioning the exhaustion of critical engineering and controls teams as the catalyst for downstream quality errors, configuration loss, and field rework.

To transition from forensic, administrative governance to a predictive and preventative model, the executive boards should:

1. **Fund and Mandate the Zero-Second "Time to Truth" Architecture,** building and maintaining the required semantic data layer architecture. Abolish the administrative friction of the 30-day reporting cycle and mandate that all key project health metrics originate as automated, zero-second streams from a relationship-aware semantic model.
2. **Establish QFI stability as the Primary Governance Standard:** Replace SPI and CPI variance milestones with real-time **QFI stability bands**. Formalize board-mandated action protocols (e.g., buffer reallocation, design freezes, queue restructuring) that are keyed to the project's real-time position within defined bands.
3. **Dismantle Siloed Risk Management and Enforce Interface Alignment Squads:** Direct the PMO to eliminate isolated risk registers and replace them with a dynamic entanglement map of the systemic risk network. For all highly entangled risk clusters, appoint dedicated, cross-functional **Interface Alignment Squads** (Cognitive Buffers) empowered to clear interface friction before it leads to cognitive overload and performance collapse.

Predictability is not an inherent property of a baseline plan that can be forced onto reality; it is an **emergent** property of a highly aligned, low-fragility project system. Boards that continue to govern with forensic tools of the last century are making mission-critical decisions blind, relying on a forensic reconstruction of a decaying reality, unaware that they are trapped in a state of unmonitored decoherence.

Drawing from quantum and relativistic analogs, my latest book, *Governing at the Speed of Reality: A Quantum Governance Playbook for Flow, Entanglement, and Fragility in Large Complex Projects*, reframes project execution as a dynamic open system where flows, internalities, and stakeholder spacetime interactions determine performance outcomes. It treats large complex projects as dynamic open systems influenced by their setting, imbued with uncertainty, and characterized by emergent behaviors and outcomes. The playbook operationalizes these insights into actionable governance mechanisms, including fragility telemetry, intervention thresholds, and real-time control loops—tools that represent a significant advancement beyond classical project management theory.

About the Author

Bob Prieto was elected to the National Academy of Construction in 2011. He is a senior executive who is effective in shaping and executing business strategy and a recognized leader within the infrastructure, engineering, and construction industries. Bob received the 2024 ASCE OPAL Award (Outstanding Projects and Leaders) for his Outstanding Lifetime Achievement in Management.

Although the author and NAC have made every effort to ensure accuracy and completeness of the advice or information presented within, NAC and the author assume no responsibility for any errors, inaccuracies, omissions or inconsistencies it may contain, or for any results obtained from the use of this information. The information is provided on an “as is” basis with no guarantees of completeness, accuracy, usefulness or timeliness, and without any warranties of any kind whatsoever, express or implied. Reliance on any information provided by NAC or the author is solely at your own risk.